

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,882	BUSCH-PETERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Dara Dinner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Dinner to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)in claim 1, change "represents" both occurrences to -is- and delete the line with formulae (d), (e) and (f); and 2)in claim 13, make the "2" in "G2" a superscript. It was agreed that claims 1 and 13 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..